## 3D Bio Info

# ELIXIR 3D-BioInfo Community in Structural Bioinformatics, AGM

### 24–26 November 2020

REGISTER

## 3D Bio Info



All times are European Time

#### **Tuesday 24th November**

| Activity 1  | Infrastructure for FAIR structural and functi<br>Coordinator: Sameer Velankar | astructure for FAIR structural and functional annotations                                     |  |
|-------------|---|---|--|
| 1.00 – 1.15 | Updates on PDBe-KB  | Mihaly Varadi<br>EMBL-EBI, UK   |  |
| 1.15 – 1.35 | 3D-Beacons use cases and prototype  | Ian Sillitoe<br>University College London, UK   |  |
| 1.35 – 1.55 | Survey on visualisation   | Wim Vranken<br>Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium<br>Jim Proctor<br>University of Dundee, UK |  |
| 1.55 – 2.05 | Coffee break  | Mathematical  |  |

Moderator

Discussion: 3D-Beacons and model quality

00.00

|             |   | University College London, UK  |
|-------------|---|--|
| 2.05 – 2.15 | Model quality estimates for 3D-Beacons  | Gerardo Tauriello<br>Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics,<br>Switzerland |
| 2.15 – 2.25 | Model uncertainty: identification, quantification and interpretation  | Daniel Saltzberg<br>University of California,<br>San Francisco, USA    |
| 2.25 – 2.35 | ModFOLD: global and local quality estimates for 3D protein models   | Liam McGuffin<br>University of Reading, UK                             |
| 2.35 – 2.45 | How failed homology modelling lead to the identification of a novel fold in CPA/AT transporters by ab-initio structure prediction | Arne Elofsson<br>Stockholm University, Sweden                          |
| 3.00 - 4.00 | POSTERS   |  |

Tuesday programme continues with Activity 5 on next page

https://elixir-europe.org/communities/3d-bioinfo



All times are European Time

| ç | Tuesday 24th November |   |   |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|
|   | Activity 5            | <b>3D-BioInfo Protein Engineering Session for</b><br><i>Coordinator: Lynne Regan</i>              | AGM Nov 24th  |
|   | 4.00 - 4.05           | Introduction to the new Protein Engineering section of 3D-BioInfo. Scope and plans for the future | Lynne Regan<br>University of Edinburgh, UK                |
|   | Four short prese      | ntations on examples of protein engineering and design  |   |
|   | 4.05 – 4.17           | Computational design of enzyme repertoires  | Rosalie Lipsh<br>Weizmann Institute, Israel               |
|   | 4.17 – 4.29           | Fragment based protein design guided by evolutionary relationships                                | Noelia Ferruz<br>Universität Bayreuth, Germany            |
|   | 4.29 – 4.41           | Modular Protein Design from structural databases  | Fabio Parmeggiani<br>University of Bristol, UK            |
|   | 4.41 – 4.53           | The road to extreme stabilisation of a<br>computationally redesigned thioredoxin fold             | Kristoffer Johansson<br>University of Copenhagen, Denmark |
|   | The importance        | of databases and how they can be used:  |   |
|   | 4.53 – 5.07           | Use of quality assessments for assessing homology models and protein design.                      | Arne Eloffson<br>University of Stockholm, Sweden          |
|   | 5.07 – 5.25           | The Biostudies Protein Engineering and Design database  | Ugis Sarkar<br>EBI, Hinxton, UK                           |
|   | 5.25 – 5.37           | Using physical features of protein cores to distinguish   | Alex Grigas   |

| 5.25 – 5.37 | Using physical features of protein cores to distinguish real proteins from decoys                         | Alex Grigas<br>Yale University, USA  |
|-------------|---|--|
| 5.37 – 5.49 | The Biostudies Protein Engineering and Design<br>Database: A community resource for data-driven<br>design | Chris Wood<br>University of Edinburgh, UK  |
| 5.49 – 6.00 | 'Open mike' for comments and discussion   | Moderators:<br>Lynne Regan<br>University of Edinburgh, UK<br>Amelie Stein<br>University of Copenhagen, Denmark |

https://elixir-europe.org/communities/3d-bioinfo

All times are European Time

| Wednesday                 | 25th November   |   |  |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| Activity 2                | ity 2 Open resources for sharing integrating and benchmarking<br>software tools for modelling the proteome in 3D<br>Coordinator: Shoshana Wodak |   |  |
| 1:00 – 1.05               | Introduction:<br>Activity 2 implementation study, current status.   | Shoshana Wodak<br>VIB-VUB Center for Structural Biology,<br>Brussels, Belgium   |  |
| Session 1: The b          | enchmark datasets   | IN THE REAL PROPERTY AND INTERPORT |  |
| 1:05 – 1.25               | Update on PDB-wide Clustering of protein-protein interfaces and protein assemblies  | Qifang Xu<br>Roland Dunbrack<br>Fox Chase Cancer Center, USA  |  |
| 1:25 – 1.35               | The current version of the benchmark dataset of homodimers: salient features  | Emmanuel Levy<br>Weizmann Inst. Israel  |  |
| 1:35 – 1.40               | Break   |   |  |
| Session 2: The b<br>Tools | enchmarked tools and analysis method.   |   |  |
| 1:40 – 1.50               | Using ISPRED4 predicted interfaces to distinguish from non-physiological complexes  | Pier Luigi Martelli<br>University of Bologna, Italy   |  |
| 1:50 – 2.00               | The pyDock interface scoring functions  | Juan Fernandez-Recio<br>EXBSC Life Sciences, Barcelona, Spain   |  |
| 2.00 – 2.10               | A novel scoring pipeline integrating CONSRANK with clustering and interface analysis  | Romina Oliva<br>University "Parthenope" of Naples, Italy  |  |
| Analysis                  |   |   |  |
| 2.10 – 2.25               | Comparing interface models: a multivariate data analysis perspective  | Frederic Cazals<br>Frederic Cazals, INRIA, France   |  |
| 2.25 – 3.00               | General discussion  | <i>Moderators:</i> Emmanuel Levy & Frederic Cazals  |  |
| 3.00 - 4.00               | POSTERS   |   |  |
| Activity 3                | Protein-ligand interactions   |   |  |
|                           | Coordinator: Vincent Zoete  |   |  |
| 4.00 – 4.10               | Objectives of Activity 3, and current status  | Vincent Zoete<br>University of Lausanne, Switzerland  |  |
| 4.10 – 4.25               | ProteinsPlus: Web-Based Molecular Design from Pro-<br>tein Structure Analytics to Protein-Ligand Docking  | Katrin Stierand<br>University of Hamburg, Germany   |  |
| 4.25 – 4.50               | Automating benchmarks with the Continuous<br>Automated Model EvaluatiOn (CAMEO)   | Xavier Robin<br>SIB Swiss Inst of Bioinformatics,<br>University of Basel, Switzerland   |  |
| 4.50 – 5.10               | ТВА   | Robbie Joosten<br>Netherlands Cancer Institute, Dept of<br>Biochemistry, Amsterdam, Netherlands   |  |
| 5.10 – 5.15               | Break   |   |  |
| 5.15 – 5.30               | Challenges in the development and validation of protein-ligand scoring functions using structural and affinity databases                        | Maria Kadukova<br>University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Inria,<br>Grenoble, France   |  |
| 5.30 – 5.45               | Identifying crystal lattices suitable for fragment screening to identify and develop protein-protein inhibitors                                 | Joseph Ng<br>Randall Centre for Cell and Molecular<br>Biophysics, King's College London, UK   |  |
| 5.45 - 6.00               | Discussing status of the project and next steps   | All   |  |



All times are European Time

|   |                        |   | - Conta   |
|---|------------------------|---|---|
| Į | Thursday 26th November |   |   |
|   | Activity 4             | Nucleic Acid Activity breakout session  |   |
|   |                        | Coordinator: Bohdan Schneider   |   |
|   | 1.00 – 1.15            | Overview of RNA quality criteria  | Eric Westhof<br>BMC/CNRS, Strasbourg, France  |
|   | 1.15 – 1.25            | RNA-Puzzles – the evaluation and automation of RNA 3D structure prediction                                      | <b>Zhichao Miao</b><br>EBI, Hinxton, UK   |
|   | 1.25 – 1.40            | BGSU RNA 3D structure annotation pipeline and its applications  | Craig L. Zirbel<br>Bowling Green State University, USA  |
|   |                        | Nucleic Acid Knowledge Base   | Cathy Lawson<br>Rutgers University, USA   |
|   | 1.40 – 1.55            | Tools for RNA secondary structure analysis  | Marco Pietrosanto,<br>Andrea Guarracino,<br>Gabriele Ausiello,<br><b>Manuela Helmer Citterich</b><br>Universita' di Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy |
|   | 1.55 – 2.05            | Reliability of RNA – small molecule complex data in the PDB   | Filip Stefaniak,<br>Janusz M. Bujnicki<br>International Institute of Molecular and<br>Cell Biology, Warsaw, Poland                                |
|   | 2.05 – 2.15            | mqapRNA: a method for model quality assessment for RNA 3D models  | Marcin Magnus,<br>Albert Bogdanowicz,<br><b>Janusz M. Bujnicki</b><br>International Institute of Molecular and<br>Cell Biology, Warsaw, Poland    |
|   | 2.15 – 2.25            | RNAtive: a tool for reference-free evaluation and ranking of RNA 3D structures                                  | Maciej Antczak,<br>Tomasz Zok,<br>Marcin Zablocki,<br>Marta Szachniuk<br>Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry,<br>Polish Acad. Sci.,Poznan, Poland   |
|   | 2.25 – 2.35            | RNANet: An automatically built dual-source dataset<br>integrating homologous sequences and<br>RNA struc-tures   | Louis Becquey,<br>Eric Angel,<br><b>Fariza Tahi</b><br>BISC, Université Evry,<br>Université Paris-Saclay, France                                  |
|   | 2.35 – 2.40            | Nucleic Acid Valence Geometry Working Group<br>– a progress report  | Bohdan Schneider<br>Institute of Biotechnology,<br>Czech Acad. Sci., CZ   |
|   | 2.40 – 2.50            | RestraintLibE: nucleic acids restraints that evolve with minimum supervision                                    | D. Brzezinski,<br>M. Kowiel,<br>M. Jaskolski<br>Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry,<br>Polish Acad. Sci.,Poznan, Poland                            |
|   | 2.50 – 3.00            | Discussion:<br>Possibility to form an RNA quality 3D taskforce?   | <i>Moderator:</i><br>Bohdan Schneider   |
|   | 3.00 – 3.30            | Discussion:<br>Possible collaborations with the Intrinsically<br>Disordered Proteins and Proteomics Communities | Wim Vranken,<br>Brussels University, Belgium<br>Lennart Martens<br>University of Gent, Belgium  |
|   | 3.30 – 4.30            | Feedback and discussion Activities 1,2,3  |   |
|   | 4.30 - 5.00            | Break   | a contraction of the  |
|   | 5.00 - 6.00            | Feedback and discussion Activities 4,5 and final wrap   | p-up  |